arXiv:math/0407156v1 [math.RA] 9 Jul 2004

On Free Baxter Algebras: Completions and the Internal Construction [*]

Li Guo and William Keigher
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Rutgers University
Newark, NJ 07102
(liguo@newark.rutgers.edu)
(keigher@newark.rutgers.edu)

1 Introduction

In a previous paper [4], we gave an explicit construction of a free Baxter algebra. This construction is called the shuffle Baxter algebra since it is described in terms of mixable shuffles. In this paper and its sequel [5], we will continue the study of free Baxter algebras.

There are two goals of this paper. The first goal is to extend the construction of shuffle Baxter algebras to completions of Baxter algebras. This process is motivated by a construction of Cartier [2] and is analogous to the process of completing a polynomial algebra to obtain a power series algebra. However, as we will see later, unlike the close similarity of properties of a polynomial algebra and a power series algebra, properties of a shuffle Baxter algebra and its completion can be quite different.

The second goal is to establish a connection between the shuffle Baxter algebra we have constructed to the standard Baxter algebra constructed by Rota [10]. The shuffle Baxter algebra is an external construction in the sense that it is a free Baxter algebra obtained without reference to any other Baxter algebra. On the other hand, the standard Baxter algebra is an internal construction, obtained as a Baxter subalgebra inside a naturally defined Baxter algebra constructed originally by Baxter [1]. There are several restrictions on Rota's original construction of standard Baxter algebras. By modifying Rota's method and making use of the shuffle Baxter algebra construction, we are able to construct the standard Baxter algebra in full generality. The shuffle product

---

[*] The first author is supported in part by NSF grant #DMS 97-96122. MSC Numbers: Primary 16A06, 47B99. Secondary 13A99,13B35,16W99.

construction of a free Baxter algebra has the advantage that its module structure and Baxter operator can be easily described. The description of a free Baxter algebra as a standard Baxter algebra has the advantage that its multiplication is very simple. We will give an explicit description of the isomorphism between the shuffle Baxter algebra and the standard Baxter algebra. This description will enable us to make use of properties of both the shuffle product description and Rota's description of free Baxter algebras. Some applications will be given in [5].

We will start with a brief summary of definitions and basic properties of the shuffle Baxter algebra in section 2. We also take the opportunity to extend the construction of shuffle Baxter algebras to the category of Baxter algebras not necessarily having an identity. In section 3, we define the completion of a Baxter algebra by making use of the filtration given by the Baxter operator, and give a description of a free complete Baxter algebra in terms of mixable shuffles. In section 4, we construct the standard Baxter algebras, generalizing Rota. Variations of the construction for complete Baxter algebras and for Baxter algebras not necessarily having an identity are also considered.

2 Shuffle Baxter algebras

We write $\mathbb{N}$ for the additive monoid of natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 0\}$ for the positive integers.

Let **Rings** denote the category of commutative rings with identity. For any $C \in$ **Rings**, let $\mathbf{Alg}_C$ denote the category of C-algebras with identity. For $C \in$ **Rings** and for any C-modules M and N, the tensor product $M \otimes N$ is taken over C unless otherwise indicated. Let M be a C-module. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$M^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{M \otimes \ldots \otimes M}_{n \text{ factors}}$$

with the convention that $M^{\otimes 0} = C$.

2.1 Baxter algebras

Baxter algebras were first studied by Baxter [1] and the category of Baxter algebras was first studied by Rota [10]. We recall basic definitions and properties of Baxter algebras. See [4, 10] for details.

Definition 2.1 *Let C be a ring, $\lambda \in C$, and let R be a C-algebra.*

- *A* **Baxter operator of weight λ on R over C** *is a C-module endomorphism P of R satisfying*

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(yP(x)) + \lambda P(xy),\ x,\ y \in R. \quad (1)$$

- *A* **Baxter C-algebra of weight** λ *is a pair* (R, P) *where* R *is a* C*-algebra and* P *is a Baxter operator of weight* λ *on* R *over* C*.*

- *Let* (R, P) *and* (S, Q) *be two Baxter* C*-algebras of weight* λ*. A* **homomorphism of Baxter** C**-algebras** $f : (R, P) \to (S, Q)$ *is a homomorphism* $f : R \to S$ *of* C*-algebras with the property that* $f(P(x)) = Q(f(x))$ *for all* $x \in R$*.*

If the meaning of λ is clear, we will suppress λ from the notation. Note that our λ is $-q$ in the notation of Rota [11].

Let $\mathbf{Bax}_{C,\lambda}$ denote the category of Baxter C-algebras of weight λ. A **Baxter ideal** of (R, P) is an ideal I of R such that $P(I) \subseteq I$. Other concepts of C-algebras, such as subalgebra and quotient algebra, can also be defined for Baxter algebras [4].

2.2 Shuffle Baxter algebras with an identity

Let $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C$. In a previous work [4], we used mixable shuffles to construct a mixable shuffle algebra $Ш_C(A)$ and proved that it is a free Baxter C-algebra on A.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, define the set of (m, n)**-shuffles** by

$$S(m,n) = \left\{ \sigma \in S_{m+n} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \sigma^{-1}(1) < \sigma^{-1}(2) < \ldots < \sigma^{-1}(m), \\ \sigma^{-1}(m+1) < \sigma^{-1}(m+2) < \ldots < \sigma^{-1}(m+n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Given an (m, n)-shuffle $\sigma \in S(m, n)$, a pair of indices $(k, k+1)$, $1 \le k < m+n$ is called an **admissible pair** for σ if $\sigma(k) \le m < \sigma(k+1)$. Denote $\mathcal{T}^\sigma$ for the set of admissible pairs for σ. For a subset T of $\mathcal{T}^\sigma$, call the pair (σ, T) a **mixable** (m, n)**-shuffle**, where (σ, T) is identified with σ if T is the empty set. Denote

$$\bar{S}(m,n) = \{(\sigma, T) \mid \sigma \in S(m,n),\ T \subset \mathcal{T}^\sigma\}$$

for the set of (m, n)**-mixable shuffles**.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, denote $x = x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_m \in A^{\otimes m}$, and $y = y_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes y_n \in A^{\otimes n}$. For $\sigma \in S_m$, denote

$$\sigma(x) = x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{\sigma(m)}.$$

Denote $x \otimes y = x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_m \otimes y_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes y_n \in A^{\otimes(m+n)}$. and, for $\sigma \in S_{m+n}$, denote

$$\sigma(x \otimes y) = u_{\sigma(1)} \otimes u_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{\sigma(m+n)},$$

where

$$u_k = \begin{cases} x_k, & 1 \le k \le m, \\ y_{k-m}, & m+1 \le k \le m+n. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 *Let* $x \in A^{\otimes m}$*,* $y \in A^{\otimes n}$ *and* $\sigma \in S(m, n)$*.*

1. *$\sigma(x\otimes y)\in A^{\otimes(m+n)}$ is called a* **shuffle** *of x and y.*
2. *Let T be a subset of $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$. The element*

   $$\sigma(x\otimes y;T)=u_{\sigma(1)}\hat{\otimes}u_{\sigma(2)}\hat{\otimes}\ldots\hat{\otimes}u_{\sigma(m+n)},$$

   where for each pair $(k,k+1)$, $1\le k<m+n$,

   $$u_{\sigma(k)}\hat{\otimes}u_{\sigma(k+1)}=\begin{cases} u_{\sigma(k)}u_{\sigma(k+1)}, & (k,k+1)\in T\\ u_{\sigma(k)}\otimes u_{\sigma(k+1)}, & (k,k+1)\notin T\end{cases}$$

   is called a **mixable shuffle** *of x and y.*

Fix a $\lambda\in C$. For $x=x_0\otimes x_1\otimes\ldots\otimes x_m\in A^{\otimes(m+1)}$ and $y=y_0\otimes y_1\otimes\ldots\otimes y_n\in A^{\otimes(n+1)}$, define

$$x\diamond y\ =\sum_{(\sigma,T)\in\bar{S}(m,n)}\lambda^{|T|}x_0y_0\otimes\sigma(x\otimes y;T)\in\bigoplus_{k\le m+n+1}A^{\otimes k}.$$

Then $\diamond$ extends to a mapping

$$\diamond: A^{\otimes(m+1)}\times A^{\otimes(n+1)}\to\bigoplus_{k\le m+n+1}A^{\otimes k}, m,n\in\mathbb{N}$$

by C-linearity. Let

$$Ш_C(A)=Ш_C(A,\lambda)=\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A^{\otimes(k+1)}=A\oplus A^{\otimes 2}\oplus\ldots.$$

Extending by additivity, the map $\diamond$ gives a C-bilinear map

$$\diamond: Ш_C(A)\times Ш_C(A)\to Ш_C(A)$$

with the convention that

$$A\times A^{\otimes(m+1)}\to A^{\otimes(m+1)}$$

is the scalar multiplication on the left A-module $A^{\otimes(m+1)}$. Define a C-linear endomorphism P_A on $Ш_C(A)$ by assigning

$$P_A(x_0\otimes x_1\otimes\ldots\otimes x_n)=\mathbf{1}_A\otimes x_0\otimes x_1\otimes\ldots\otimes x_n,$$

for all $x_0\otimes x_1\otimes\ldots\otimes x_n\in A^{\otimes(n+1)}$ and extending by additivity. Let $j_A: A\to Ш_C(A)$ be the canonical inclusion map. We proved the following theorem in [4].

Theorem 2.3 *1. The C-module $Ш_C(A)$, together with the multiplication $\diamond$, is a commutative C-algebra with an identity.*

2. $(Ш_C(A), P_A)$*, together with the natural embedding* $j_A : A \to Ш_C(A)$*, is a free Baxter* C*-algebra on* A *(of weight* λ*). In other words, for any Baxter* C*-algebra* (R, P) *and any* C*-algebra map* $\varphi : A \to R$*, there exists a unique Baxter* C*-algebra homomorphism* $\tilde{\varphi} : (Ш_C(A), P_A) \to (R, P)$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "j_A"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi"'] & Ш_C(A) \arrow[d, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \\ & R \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

The Baxter C-algebra $(Ш_C(A), P_A)$ will be called the **shuffle Baxter C-algebra (of weight λ) on A.** When there is no danger of confusion, we will often suppress the symbol $\diamond$ and simply denote xy for $x \diamond y$ in $Ш_C(A)$.

For a given set X, let $C[X]$ be the polynomial C-algebra on X with the natural embedding $X \hookrightarrow C[X]$. Let $(Ш_C(X), P_X)$ be the Baxter C-algebra $(Ш_C(C[X]), P_{C[X]})$. $(Ш_C(X), P_X)$ will be called the **shuffle Baxter C-algebra (of weight λ) on X.**

Proposition 2.4 $(Ш_C(X), P_X)$*, together with the set embedding*

$$j_X : X \hookrightarrow C[X] \overset{j_{C[X]}}{\longrightarrow} Ш_C(C[X]),$$

is a free Baxter C*-algebra on the set* X*, described by the following universal property: For any Baxter* C*-algebra* (R, P) *over* C *and any set map* $\varphi : X \to R$*, there exists a unique Baxter* C*-algebra homomorphism* $\tilde{\varphi} : (Ш_C(X), P_X) \to (R, P)$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "j_X"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi"'] & Ш_C(X) \arrow[d, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \\ & R \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

If we choose $A = C$ in the construction of free Baxter C-algebras, then we get

$$Ш_C(C) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} C^{\otimes(n+1)} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} C\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(n+1)},$$

where $\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(n+1)} = \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_C \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{1}_C}_{(n+1)-\text{factors}}$. Thus $Ш_C(C)$ is a free C-module on the basis $\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n}, n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.5 *For any* $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$*,*

$$\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(m+1)} \diamond \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(n+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+n-k}{n}\binom{n}{k} \lambda^k \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(m+n+1-k)}.$$

2.3 Shuffle Baxter algebras without an identity

We now construct a shuffle Baxter algebra in the category of Baxter algebras not necessarily having an identity.

For $C \in \mathbf{Rings}$, let $\mathbf{Alg}_C^0$ be the category of C-algebras not necessarily having an identity and let $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ be the category of Baxter C-algebras not necessarily having an identity. For $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C^0$, we will use mixable shuffles to construct a free Baxter algebra on A in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$. This construction was given in a special case in [4]. A similar construction can be carried out if C is assumed to be a commutative ring not necessarily having an identity, but we will not give details here.

Let $C \in \mathbf{Rings}$ and $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C^0$ be given. We use a well-known construction [2, 6] to embed A in an element $A^+ \in \mathbf{Alg}_C$. Let $A^+ = C \oplus A$ with the addition defined componentwise and the multiplication defined by

$$(c,a)(d,b) = (cd, cb + da + ab), c,\ d \in C,\ a,\ b \in A.$$

Then A^+ is in $\mathbf{Alg}_C$ with $(\mathbf{1}_C, 0)$ as the identity and $a \mapsto (0,a)$ embeds A in A^+ as a subobject in $\mathbf{Alg}_C^0$ (in fact, as an ideal).

Define

$$Ш_C(A)^0 = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}((A^+)^{\otimes n} \otimes A)$$

with the convention that $(A^+)^{\otimes 0} = C$. Thus $Ш_C(A)^0$ is the C-submodule of $Ш_C(A^+)$ generated by tensors of the form

$$x_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n,\ x_i \in A^+, 0 \leq i \leq n-1,\ x_n \in A.$$

Since any mixable shuffle of $x_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_m$ and $y_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes y_n$ has either x_m or y_n or $x_m y_n$ as the last tensor factor, we see that $Ш_C(A)^0$ is a C-subalgebra of $Ш_C(A^+)$. It is also clearly closed under the Baxter operator P_{A^+}. So $Ш_C(A)^0$, with the restriction of P_{A^+}, denoted by P_A, is a subobject of $Ш_C(A^+)$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$. It is called **the shuffle Baxter algebra** on A (of weight λ) in the category $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$.

Proposition 2.6 $(Ш_C(A)^0, P_A)$, *together with the natural embedding* $j_A : A \to Ш_C(A)^0$, *is a free Baxter C-algebra on A (of weight λ).*

Proof: For any $(R,P) \in \mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ and any morphism $\varphi : A \to R$ in $\mathbf{Alg}_C^0$, we will display a unique morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : (Ш_C(A)^0, P_A) \to (R,P)$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ that extends φ. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will define a C-linear map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n : (A^+)^{\otimes n} \otimes A \to R.$$

If $n = 0$, we define

$$\tilde{\varphi}_0 : (A^+)^{\otimes 0} \otimes A = A \to R$$

by $\tilde{\varphi}_0(x_0) = \varphi(x_0),\ x_0 \in A$. Assuming $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ is defined, we define

$$\varphi_{n+1} : (A^+)^{n+1} \times A \to R$$

by

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = cP(\tilde{\varphi}_n(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n+1})) + \varphi(x_0')P(\tilde{\varphi}_n(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n+1})),$$

if $x_0 = (c, x_0') \in A^+ = C \oplus A$. Using the induction hypothesis, we see that this map is C-multilinear, and so induces

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{n+1} : (A^+)^{\otimes(n+1)} \otimes A \to R.$$

We then use $\tilde{\varphi}_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$ to define

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_n : Ш_C(A)^0 = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}((A^+)^{\otimes n} \otimes A) \to R. \tag{2}$$

Since the products of $Ш_C(A)^0 \subseteq Ш_C(A^+)$ and A both satisfy the mixable shuffle product identity (see [4, Proposition 4.2]), $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$. On the other hand, for $x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \in (A^+)^{\otimes n} \otimes A$ with $x_0 = (c, x_0')$, we have

$$x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n = cP_A(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) + \varphi(x_0')P_A(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n).$$

Thus equation (2) is the only possible way to define a morphism in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ from $Ш_C(A)^0$ to R that extends φ. This verifies the required universal property of $Ш_C(A)^0$. ■

3 Complete Baxter algebras

We will define a natural decreasing filtration on Baxter algebras and study the associated completion. We will show that the completion of a shuffle Baxter algebra is a free object in the category of complete Baxter algebras. In this section, we retain the assumption that all algebras have an identity.

3.1 Filtrations and completions

Let (R, P) be a Baxter algebra. For any subset U of R, denote $< U >_B$ for the Baxter ideal of R generated by U. We will define a decreasing filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^n R$ of ideals of (R, P) as follows. Define $\mathrm{Fil}^0 R = R$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that $\mathrm{Fil}^n R$ is defined, and inductively define

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1} R = < P(\mathrm{Fil}^n R) >_B .$$

Thus, for example,

$$\mathrm{Fil}^1 R = < P(R) >_B \quad \text{and } \mathrm{Fil}^2 R = < P(< P(R) >_B) >_B .$$

Since each $\mathrm{Fil}^n R$ is a Baxter ideal of R, we have $P(\mathrm{Fil}^n R) \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}^n R$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1} R = < P(\mathrm{Fil}^n R) >_B \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}^n R.$$

So $\{\mathrm{Fil}^n R\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defines a decreasing filtration of Baxter ideals on (R,P). Assuming $\mathrm{Fil}^1 R \neq R$, then each $R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R$, $n\in\mathbb{N}_+$, is a Baxter C-algebra. Since each of the projections $R/\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1}R \to R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R$, $n\in\mathbb{N}_+$, is a Baxter C-algebra homomorphism, the inverse limit $\varprojlim (R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R)$ is also a Baxter C-algebra.

Definition 3.1 *Let (R,P) be a Baxter algebra.*

1. *The decreasing filtration* $\mathrm{Fil}^n R$ *on R is called the* **Baxter filtration on** *R.*
2. *The Baxter algebra (R,P) is called* **proper** *if* $\mathrm{Fil}^1 R$ *is a proper Baxter ideal of R.*
3. *Denote $\mathbf{Bax}'_C$ for the subcategory of $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ consisting of proper Baxter C-algebras.*
4. *For $(R,P)\in\mathbf{Bax}'_C$, the inverse limit $\widehat{R}=\varprojlim (R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R)$ with the induced Baxter operator $\widehat{P}$ is called the* **(Baxter) completion of** *(R,P).*
5. *$(R,P)\in\mathbf{Bax}'_C$ is called* **(Baxter) complete** *if the natural Baxter C-algebra homomorphism*
$$\pi_R : R \to \varprojlim (R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R)$$
is an isomorphism.

Let $\lambda\in C$ and $A\in\mathbf{Alg}_C$. It is easy to see (Proposition 3.5) that the shuffle Baxter algebra $\text{Ш}_C(A)$ of weight λ is proper. On the other hand, for $\lambda\in C$, define P_λ on A by $P_\lambda(a)=-\lambda a$. Then (A,P_λ) is a Baxter algebra of weight λ. If λ is invertible in A, then $P_\lambda(A)=-\lambda A=A$. So (A,P_λ) is not proper. If λ is not invertible in A, then (A,P_λ) is proper. In fact, the Baxter completion of (A,P_λ) is the same as $\varprojlim A/\lambda^n A$, the λ-adic completion of A.

Proposition 3.2 *For each $f:(R,P)\to(S,Q)$ in $\mathbf{Bax}'_C$, there is a unique $\hat{f}:(\hat{R},\hat{P})\to(\hat{S},\hat{Q})$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} R \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\pi_R"] & S \arrow[d, "\pi_S"] \\ \hat{R} \arrow[r, "\hat{f}"] & \hat{S} \end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

Before proving the proposition, we first give an elementary fact on Baxter algebras.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $f:(R,P)\to(S,Q)$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Bax}'_C$. For any subset U of R, we have $f(<U>_B)\subseteq <f(U)>_B$.*

Proof: For a given subset U of R, consider the morphism

$$R \xrightarrow{f} S \to S/ < f(U) >_B$$

in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$. Since U is in the kernel of the morphism, it follows that $< U >_B$ is in the kernel of the morphism. Thus $f(< U >_B) \subseteq < f(U) >_B$. ■

Proof of Proposition 3.2: We first apply induction on k to show that $f(\mathrm{Fil}^k R) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^k S$. For $k = 0$, this just says $f(R) \subseteq S$. Assume that $f(\mathrm{Fil}^k R) \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}^k R$. Applying Lemma 3.3 to $P(\mathrm{Fil}^k R)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathrm{Fil}^{k+1} R) &= f(< P(\mathrm{Fil}^k R) >_B) \\ &\subseteq < f(P(\mathrm{Fil}^k R)) >_B \\ &= < Q(f(\mathrm{Fil}^k R)) >_B \\ &\subseteq < Q(\mathrm{Fil}^k S) >_B \\ &= \mathrm{Fil}^{k+1} S. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction. Then the proposition follows from general results on completions [9, p.57]. ■

3.2 An alternative description

We now give an interpretation of a complete free Baxter algebra in terms infinite sequences. We consider the following situation. Let R be a C-algebra and let R_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be C-submodules of R such that

1. $R = \oplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} R_k$ as a C-module, and
2. $F^n R \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \oplus_{k > n} R_k$ is an ideal of R, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will define a multiplication on $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} R_k$. The definition is similar to the case when R is graded C-algebra. For lack of a suitable reference, we give details below.

Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(x^{(n)})_n$ be a sequence of elements in R_k. If there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^{(n)} = x^{(n_0)}$ for $n \geq n_0$, then define $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = x^{(n_0)} \in R_k$. Further, let $(x^{(n)}) = ((x_k^{(n)})_k)$ be a sequence of elements in $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} R_k$. If $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} x_k^{(n)}$ exists for each k, then define

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)} = (\lim_{n \to \infty} x_k^{(n)})_k \in \prod_k R_k.$$

For any $x = (x_k)_k \in \prod_k R_k$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $x^{[n]} = (x_k^{[n]})_k \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} R_k$ by

$$x_k^{[n]} = \begin{cases} x_k, & k \leq n, \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}$$

Now let $x = (x_k)_k$ and $y = (y_k)_k$ be two elements of $\prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$. For given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x^{[n]},\ y^{[n]} \in R = \oplus_k R_k$. So $x^{[n]}y^{[n]}$ can be uniquely expressed as $(z_k^{(n)})_k$, $z_k^{(n)} \in R_k$ and $z_k^{(n)} = 0$ for $k >> 0$. For each fixed k, we obtain a sequence $(z_k^{(n)})_n$ in R_k. When $n \geq k$, we have $x^{[n]} = x^{[k]} + x'$ and $y^{[n]} = y^{[k]} + y'$ with $x', y' \in F^{k+1}R$. Since $F^{k+1}R$ is an ideal of R, we have

$$x^{[n]}y^{[n]} \equiv x^{[k]}y^{[k]} \pmod{F^{k+1}R}.$$

Therefore $z_k^{(n)} = z_k^{(k)}$ for $n \geq k$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} z_k^{(n)} \in R_k$ is well-defined. Define

$$(x_k)_k(y_k)_k = (\lim_{n\to\infty} z_k^{(n)})_k \in \prod_k R_k.$$

In other words,

$$(x_k)_k(y_k)_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{[n]}y^{[n]}.$$

It can be easily verified that this defines an associative, commutative multiplication on $\prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$, making it into a commutative C-algebra.

Proposition 3.4 *Let R be a C-algebra and let R_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be C-submodules of R such that*

1. *$R = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$ as a C-module, and*
2. *$F^nR \overset{\text{def}}{=} \oplus_{k>n} R_k$ is an ideal of R, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

There is a unique C-algebra isomorphism

$$\psi_R : \varprojlim (R/F^kR) \cong \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$$

that makes the diagram in $\mathbf{Alg}_C$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi_R"] & \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k \\
\varprojlim (R/F^kR) \arrow[ur, "\psi_R"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

Proof: By definition, $\varprojlim (R/F^kR)$ is the inverse limit of the inverse system $p_{n+1,n} : R/F^{n+1}R \to R/F^nR$, $n \geq 1$. An element $((x_k^{(n)})_k + F^nR)_n \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} R/F^nR$ is an element of $\varprojlim (R/F^kR)$ if and only if, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$p_{n+1,n}((x_k^{(n+1)})_k + F^{n+1}R) = (x_k^{(n)})_k + F^nR.$$

Since $R/F^nR \cong \oplus_{k\leq n} R_k$, this is so if and only if $x_k^{(n+1)} = x_k^{(n)}$ for $k \leq n$. Therefore $((x_k^{(n)})_k + F^nR)_n$ is in $\varprojlim (R/F^kR)$ if and only if there is $(y_n)_n \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} R_n$ such that, for any n, $x_k^{(n)} = y_k$ for $k \leq n$. In fact, we can take $y_k = x_k^{(k)}$. This gives the desired map $\psi_R : \varprojlim (R/F^kR) \to \prod\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$. More precisely, we have

$$\psi_R(((x_k^{(n)})_k + F^nR)_n) = (x_k^{(k)})_k. \tag{3}$$

For $x = (x_k)_k \in R = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$, $\pi_R(x) \in \varprojlim (R/F^kR)$ is defined to be the sequence $((x_k)_k + F^nR)_n$ which corresponds under ψ_R to the element $(x_k)_k \in \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} R_k$. This proves the commutativity of the diagram. ■

3.3 Complete shuffle Baxter algebras

We now consider the completion of $Ш_C(A)$. Recall that we denote $Ш_C^k(A)$ for the C-submodule $A^{\otimes(k+1)}$ of $Ш_C(A)$. We denote $\varprojlim Ш_C(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)$ by $\widehat{Ш}_C(A)$.

Proposition 3.5 *Given $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,*

1. $\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A) = \bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A)$,
2. $\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)$ *is a Baxter homogeneous ideal of* $Ш_C(A)$, *and*
3. *The quotient Baxter C-algebra* $Ш_C(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)$ *is isomorphic to* $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{k-1} Ш_C^n(A)$ *as a C-module.*

Proof: 1. It follows from the definition of $\diamond$ that, for any C-algebra A,

$$Ш_C^m(A) \diamond Ш_C^n(A) \subseteq \sum_{k=\max\{m,n\}}^{m+n} Ш_C^k(A).$$

This shows that $\bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A)$ is a Baxter ideal. Next we prove

$$\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A) = \bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A) \tag{4}$$

by induction on k. By definition, $\mathrm{Fil}^1 Ш_C(A)$ is the Baxter ideal generated by $P_A(Ш_C(A)) = \mathbf{1}_A \otimes Ш_C(A)$. On the other hand, $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} Ш_C^n(A)$ equals $A \diamond (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes Ш_C(A))$, hence is also generated by $\mathbf{1}_A \otimes Ш_C(A)$. This verifies equation (4) for $k = 1$. Assume that $\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A) = \bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A)$ for a $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. From this we obtain that $\mathrm{Fil}^{k+1} Ш_C(A)$ is the Baxter ideal generated by

$$P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)) = P_A(\bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A)) = \mathbf{1}_A \otimes (\bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A)).$$

On the other hand, $\bigoplus_{n\geq k+1} Ш_C^n(A)$ equals $R \diamond (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A))$, hence is also generated by $\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \bigoplus_{n\geq k} Ш_C^n(A)$. This verifies equation (4) for $k+1$.

Other statements in the proposition follow immediately from the first one. ■

It follows from Proposition 3.5 that $R = Ш_C(A) = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Ш_C^k(A)$ satisfies the two conditions for R in Proposition 3.4. Thus the product $\prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Ш_C^k(A)$ is a C-algebra. Define an operator $\bar{P}$ on this product by $\bar{P}((x_k)) = (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes x_{k-1})$ with the convention that $\mathbf{1}_A \otimes x_{k-1} = 0$ for $k = 0$.

Theorem 3.6 *1. $\bar{P}$ is a Baxter operator on $\prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Ш_C^k(A)$, and $\psi_A \overset{\text{def}}{=} \psi_{Ш_C(A)} : \widehat{Ш}_C(A) \to \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Ш_C^k(A)$ is an isomorphism of C-Baxter algebras.*

2. Given a morphism $f : A \to B$ in $\mathbf{Alg}_C$, we have the following commutative diagram in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widehat{Ш}_C(A) \arrow[r, "\psi_A"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{Ш}_C(f)"] & \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Ш_C^k(A) \arrow[d, "\prod_k f_k"] \\ \widehat{Ш}_C(B) \arrow[r, "\psi_B"] & \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Ш_C^k(B) \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widehat{Ш}_C(f)$ is induced by $Ш_C(f)$ which is in turn induced by f, and $f_k : Ш_C^k(A) \to Ш_C^k(B)$ is the tensor power morphism of C-modules $f^{\otimes(k+1)} : A^{\otimes(k+1)} \to B^{\otimes(k+1)}$ induced from f.

Proof: 1. Let $((x_k^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n \in \widehat{Ш}_C(A)$ be given. Using the formula (3) for the map ψ_A, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{P} \circ \psi_A)(((x_k^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n) \\ &= \bar{P}((x_k^{(k)})_k) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes x_{k-1}^{(k)})_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_A \circ \hat{P})(((x_k^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n) \\ &= \psi_A(((\mathbf{1}_A \otimes x_{k-1}^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes x_{k-1}^{(k)})_k \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{P} \circ \psi_A = \psi_A \circ \hat{P}$. Since ψ_A is an isomorphism of C-algebras and since $\hat{P}$ is known to satisfy the identity defining a Baxter operator, the above equation implies that the same identity is satisfied by $\bar{P}$.

2. Given $((x_k^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n \in \widehat{Ш}_C(A)$, using the formula (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\prod_k f_k \circ \psi_A)(((x_k^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n) \\ &\quad = \prod_k f_k((x_k^{(k)})_k) \\ &\quad = (f_k(x_k^{(k)}))_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\psi_A \circ \widehat{Ш}_C(A))(((x_k^{(n)})_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))_n) \\ &\quad = \psi_A(((f_k(x_k^{(n)}))_k + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(B))_n) \\ &\quad = (f_k(x_k^{(k)}))_k. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the diagram commutes. ■

As an example of Theorem 3.6, consider the case when $A = C$ and $\lambda = 0$. Let HC be the ring of Hurwitz series over C [7], defined to be the set of sequences

$$\{(a_n) \mid a_n \in C, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

in which the addition is defined componentwise and the multiplication is defined by

$$(a_n)(b_n) = (c_n)$$

with

$$c_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k b_{n-k}.$$

Denote e_n for the sequence (a_k) in which $a_n = \mathbf{1}_C$ and $a_k = 0$ for $k \neq n$. Since $e_n e_m = \binom{m+n}{n} e_{m+n}$, the following corollary follows from Proposition 2.5.

Corollary 3.7 *The assignment*

$$\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(n+1)} \mapsto e_n,\ n \geq 0$$

defines an isomorphism

$$\widehat{Ш}_C(C) \to HC.$$

■

By Theorem 3.6 and part three of Proposition 3.5, we have the isomorphism of inverse systems

$$\widehat{Ш}_C(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^k \widehat{Ш}_C(A) \cong Ш_C(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A).$$

Thus the completion of $\widehat{Ш}_C(A)$ is itself, so it is complete. We next verify the free universal property of $\widehat{Ш}_C(A)$ in the category $\widehat{\mathbf{Bax}}_C$ of complete Baxter C-algebras. Abbreviate π_A for $\pi_{Ш_C(A)}$.

Theorem 3.8 *$(\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A), \hat{P}_A)$, together with the natural embedding $\hat{j}_A : A \xrightarrow{j_A} \text{Ш}_C(A) \xrightarrow{\pi_A} \widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A)$, is a free complete Baxter C-algebra on A (of weight λ). In other words, for any complete Baxter C-algebra (R, P) and any C-algebra map $\varphi : A \to R$, there exists a unique Baxter C-algebra homomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : (\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A), \hat{P}_A) \to (R, P)$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\hat{j}_A"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi"'] & \widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A) \arrow[d, "\hat{\varphi}"] \\
 & R
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof: Given a (R, P) and $\varphi : A \to R$ as in the statement of the theorem, by the universal property of $(\text{Ш}_C(A), j_A)$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$, there is a unique $\tilde{\varphi} : \text{Ш}_C(A) \to R$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j_A = \varphi$. Since R is complete, by Proposition 3.2, there is

$$\hat{\varphi} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\tilde{\varphi}} : \widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A) \to \hat{R} \cong R$$

such that $\hat{\varphi} \circ \pi_A = \tilde{\varphi}$. Then we have

$$\hat{\varphi} \circ \widehat{j_A} = \hat{\varphi} \circ \pi_A \circ j_A = \tilde{\varphi} \circ j_A = \varphi.$$

This proves the existence of $\hat{\varphi}$. The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and the uniqueness of the completion. ■

3.4 Completions of Baxter algebras

It is clear that complete Baxter C-algebras, together with the Baxter algebra homomorphisms between them, form a full subcategory $\widehat{\mathbf{Bax}}_C$ of $\mathbf{Bax}_C$. Recall that we denote $\mathbf{Bax}'_C$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ consisting of proper Baxter algebras. Denoting $I_C : \widehat{\mathbf{Bax}}_C \to \mathbf{Bax}'_C$ for the natural inclusion of categories, we then have

Proposition 3.9

1. *For any $R \in \mathbf{Bax}'_C$, the Baxter completion $\hat{R}$ of R is complete.*
2. *The assignments $(R, P) \mapsto (\hat{R}, \hat{P})$ and $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ define a functor F_C from $\mathbf{Bax}'_C$ to $\widehat{\mathbf{Bax}}_C$, and the morphisms $\pi_R : R \to \hat{R}$, $R \in \mathbf{Bax}'_C$, define a natural transformation between the identity functor on $\mathbf{Bax}'_C$ and the functor $I_C \circ F_C : \mathbf{Bax}'_C \to \mathbf{Bax}'_C$.*

Proof: We only need to prove that $\hat{R}$ is complete. The rest of the proof is clear.

Note that the filtration Fil^k on $\hat{R}$ is not defined to be the natural filtration induced by the filtration Fil^k on R. So the general results on completions do not apply. Instead, we will use the facts that any Baxter algebra is a quotient of a shuffle Baxter algebra, and that the completion of a shuffle Baxter algebra is complete, which follows from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.6.

For a given $(R, P) \in \mathbf{Bax}'_C$, by the universal property of free Baxter algebras, there is an $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C$ and a surjective morphism $\psi : Ш_C(A) \to R$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$. We will prove by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ that

$$\psi(\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A)) = \mathrm{Fil}^n R. \tag{5}$$

For $n = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\mathrm{Fil}^1 Ш_C(A)) &= \psi(Ш_C(A) P_A(Ш_C(A))) \\ &= \psi(Ш_C(A)) P(\psi(Ш_C(A))) \\ &= RP(R).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $RP(R)$ is the ideal of R generated by $P(R)$. Since $P(RP(R)) \subseteq P(R)$, it is in fact the Baxter ideal of R generated by $P(R)$. Thus $RP(R) = \mathrm{Fil}^1 R$. So equation (5) holds for $n = 1$.

Assume that the equation holds for n. Part one of Proposition 3.5 shows that $\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1} Ш_C(A)$ is the ideal of $Ш_C(A)$ generated by $P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))$. Then by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1} Ш_C(A)) &= \psi(Ш_C(A) P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))) \\ &= \psi(Ш_C(A)) P(\psi(Ш_C(A))) \\ &= RP(\mathrm{Fil}^n R).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}P(RP(\mathrm{Fil}^n R)) &= P(\psi(Ш_C(A)) P(\psi(\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A)))) \\ &= \psi(P_A(Ш_C(A) P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A)))) \\ &= \psi(P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1} Ш_C(A))) \\ &\subseteq \psi(Ш_C(A) P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))) \\ &= RP(\mathrm{Fil}^n R),\end{aligned}$$

$RP(\mathrm{Fil}^n R)$ is the Baxter ideal of R generated by $P(\mathrm{Fil}^n R)$, so is equal to $\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1} R$. This completes the induction.

Because of equation (5), the morphism $\psi : Ш_C(A) \to R$ induces a morphism

$$\psi_n : Ш_C(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A) \to R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and the kernel of ψ_n is $(\ker \psi + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))/\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A)$ which is isomorphic to $\ker \psi/(\ker \phi \cap \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))$. Thus we have the exact sequence of inverse systems

$$0 \to (\ker \psi + \mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A))/\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A) \to Ш_C(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^n Ш_C(A) \to R/\mathrm{Fil}^n R \to 0$$

and the transition map of the left inverse system is identified with the natural map

$$\ker\psi/(\ker\psi\cap \mathrm{Fil}^{n+1}\text{Ш}_C(A)) \to \ker\psi/(\ker\psi\cap \mathrm{Fil}^{n}\text{Ш}_C(A))$$

so is surjective. By [13, Lemma 3.5.3], for the first derived functor $R^1\varprojlim$ of the inverse limit,

$$R^1\varprojlim\,(\ker\psi + \mathrm{Fil}^n\text{Ш}_C(A))/\mathrm{Fil}^n\text{Ш}_C(A) = 0.$$

Therefore the above exact sequence of inverse systems gives the surjective morphism

$$\hat{\psi} : \widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A) \to \hat{R}.$$

Because of Theorem 3.6, $\mathrm{Fil}^{n+1}\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A)$ is the ideal of $\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A)$ generated by $\hat{P}_A(\mathrm{Fil}^n\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A))$. Then the same argument for $\psi : \text{Ш}_C(A) \twoheadrightarrow R$ in the previous part of the proof can be repeated for the morphism $\hat{\psi} : \widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A) \twoheadrightarrow \hat{R}$. In particular, we have, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\psi(\mathrm{Fil}^n\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A)) = \mathrm{Fil}^n\hat{R}. \tag{6}$$

We then obtain a surjective morphism

$$\hat{\hat{\psi}} : \widehat{\widehat{\text{Ш}}}_C(A) \to \hat{\hat{R}}.$$

Since $\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A)$ is its own completion, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A) \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\hat{\psi}"] & \widehat{\widehat{\text{Ш}}}_C(A) \arrow[d, "\hat{\hat{\psi}}"] \\
\hat{R} \arrow[r, "\pi_{\hat{R}}"] & \hat{\hat{R}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which both of the vertical maps are surjective. By the commutativity of the diagram, $\pi_{\hat{R}}$ is surjective. Since $\cap_n\mathrm{Fil}^n\widehat{\text{Ш}}_C(A) = 0$, by equation (6), we have $\cap_n\mathrm{Fil}^n\hat{R} = 0$. Thus $\pi_{\hat{R}}$ is injective. Therefore, $\hat{R}$ is complete. ■

4 The standard Baxter algebra

The standard Baxter algebra constructed by Rota in [10] is a free object in the category $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ of Baxter algebras not necessarily having an identity. It is described as a Baxter subalgebra of another Baxter algebra whose construction goes back to Baxter [1]. In Rota's construction, there are further restrictions that C is a field of characteristic zero, the free Baxter algebra obtained is on a finite set X, and the weight λ is 1. By making use of shuffle Baxter algebras, we will show that Rota's description can be modified to yield a free Baxter algebra on an algebra in the category $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ of Baxter algebras with an identity, with a mild restriction on the weight λ. We will also provide a similar construction for algebras not necessarily having an identity, and for complete Baxter algebras.

4.1 The standard Baxter algebra of Rota

We first briefly recall the construction of Rota of a standard Baxter algebra $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ on a set X. For details, see [10, 12].

As before, let C be a commutative ring with an identity, and fix $\lambda \in C$. Let X be a given set. For each $x \in X$, let $t^{(x)}$ be a sequence $t^{(x)} = (t_1^{(x)}, \ldots, t_n^{(x)}, \ldots)$ of distinct symbols $t_n^{(x)}$. We also require that the sets $\{t_n^{(x_1)}\}_n$ and $\{t_n^{(x_2)}\}_n$ are disjoint for $x_1 \neq x_2$ in X. Denote

$$\overline{X} = \cup_{x\in X}\{t_n^{(x)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$$

and denote $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ for the ring of sequences with entries in $C[\overline{X}]$, the C-algebra of polynomials with variables in $\overline{X}$. Thus the addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication by $C[\overline{X}]$ in $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ are defined componentwise. It will useful to have the following description of $\mathfrak{A}(X)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, denote γ_k for the sequence $(\delta_{n,k})_n$, where $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker delta. Then we can identify a sequence $(a_n)_n$ in $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ with a series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\gamma_n = a_1\gamma_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + \ldots.$$

Then the addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication by $C[\overline{X}]$ are given termwise.

Define

$$P'_X = P'_{X,\lambda} : \mathfrak{A}(X) \to \mathfrak{A}(X)$$

by

$$P'_X(a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots) = \lambda(0, a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \ldots).$$

In other words, each entry of $P'_X(a)$, $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$, is λ times the sum of the previous entries of a. If elements in $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ are described by series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\gamma_n$ given above, then we simply have

$$P'_X(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\gamma_n) = \lambda\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i)\gamma_n.$$

It is well-known [1, 10] that, for $\lambda = 1$, P'_X defines a Baxter operator of weight 1 on $\mathfrak{A}(X)$. It follows that, for any $\lambda \in C$, P'_X defines a Baxter operator of weight λ on $\mathfrak{A}(X)$, since it can be easily verified that for any Baxter operator P of weight 1, the operator λP is a Baxter operator of weight λ. Hence $(\mathfrak{A}(X), P'_X)$ is in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$.

Definition 4.1 *Let* $\mathfrak{S}(X)^0$ *be the Baxter subalgebra in* $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ *of* $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ *generated by the sequences* $t^{(x)} = (t_1^{(x)}, \ldots, x_n^{(x)}, \ldots)$, $x \in X$. $\mathfrak{S}(X)^0$ *is called the* **standard Baxter algebra** *on* X.

Note that $\mathfrak{S}(X)^0$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ in Rota's notation. We reserve $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ for the free Baxter algebra on X with an identity that will be defined below.

Theorem 4.2 (Rota) *[10]* $(\mathfrak{S}(X)^0, P'_X)$ *is a free Baxter algebra on* X *in the category* $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$.

4.2 The standard Baxter algebra in general

Given $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C$, we now give an alternative construction of a free Baxter algebra on A in the category $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ of Baxter algebras with an identity.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, denote $A^{\otimes n}$ for the tensor power algebra. Denote the direct limit algebra

$$\overline{A} = \varinjlim A^{\otimes n}$$

where the transition map is given by

$$A^{\otimes n} \rightarrowtail A^{\otimes (n+1)},\ x \mapsto x \otimes \mathbf{1}_A.$$

Note that the multiplication on $A^{\otimes n}$ here is different from the multiplication on $A^{\otimes n}$ when it is regarded as the C-submodule $Ш_C^{n-1}(A)$ of $Ш_C(A)$. To distinguish between the two contexts, we will use the notation $Ш_C^n(A)$ for $A^{\otimes (n+1)} \subseteq Ш_C(A)$. Let $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ be the set of sequences with entries in $\overline{A}$. Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{A}(A) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{A}\gamma_n = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \gamma_n, a_n \in \overline{A} \right\}.$$

Define addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication on $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ componentwise, making $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ into a $\overline{A}$-algebra, with the sequence $(1, 1, \ldots)$ as the identity. Define

$$P'_A = P'_{A,\lambda} : \mathfrak{A}(A) \to \mathfrak{A}(A)$$

by

$$P'_A(a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots) = \lambda(0, a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \ldots).$$

Then $(\mathfrak{A}(A), P'_A)$ is in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$. For each $a \in A$, define $t^{(a)} = (t_k^{(a)})_k$ in $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ by

$$t_k^{(a)} = \otimes_{i=1}^{k} a_i (= \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i),\ a_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a, & i = k, \\ 1, & i \neq k. \end{array} \right.$$

Definition 4.3 *Let $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ be the Baxter subalgebra in* $\mathbf{Bax}_C$ *of $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ generated by the sequences $t^{(a)} = (t_1^{(a)}, \ldots, t_n^{(a)}, \ldots)$, $a \in A$. $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ is called the* **standard Baxter algebra** *on A.*

Since $Ш_C(A)$ is a free Baxter algebra on A, the morphism in $\mathbf{Alg}_C$

$$A \to \mathfrak{A}(A), a \mapsto t^{(a)}$$

extends uniquely to a morphism in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$

$$\Phi : Ш_C(A) \to \mathfrak{A}(A).$$

We will prove in Theorem 4.6 that, when λ is not a zero divisor in $\overline{A}$, Φ is an isomorphism. Hence $(\mathfrak{S}(A), P'_A)$ is a free Baxter algebra on A in the category $\mathbf{Bax}_C$. Before proving the theorem, we will first give some notations and preliminary results.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, denote

$$F^k\mathfrak{A}(A) = \{(a_i) \in \mathfrak{A}(A) \mid a_i = 0,\ i \leq k\} = \{\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_n\gamma_n \mid a_n \in \overline{A}\}.$$

Also denote $F^0\mathfrak{A}(A) = \mathfrak{A}(A)$. Clearly each $F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{A}(A)$. Define

$$F^k\mathfrak{S}(A) = F^k\mathfrak{A}(A) \cap \mathfrak{S}(A).$$

Then we have

$$F^k\mathfrak{S}(A) = \{(a_i) \in \mathfrak{S}(A) \mid a_i = 0,\ i \leq k\}.$$

$F^k\mathfrak{S}(A)$ are ideals of $\mathfrak{S}(A)$. Recall from Section 3 that there is a canonical (Baxter) filtration Fil^k on $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ defined by P'_A. We will explain the relation between them in Lemma 4.10.

Lemma 4.4 *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

1. $\mathfrak{A}(A)P'_A(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) \subseteq F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A)$.
2. $\Phi(\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)) \subseteq F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)$.

Similar inclusions hold for $\mathfrak{S}(A)$.

Proof: We only need to verify the inclusions for $\mathfrak{A}(A)$. The inclusions for $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ follows immediately. By the definition of $P'_A(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A))$ we have $P'_A(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) \subseteq F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A)$. Since $\mathfrak{A}(A)P'_A(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A))$ equals to the ideal of $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ generated by $P'_A(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A))$, we get the first inclusion.

The second inclusion is clear for $k = 0$. By induction on k, for $k > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)) &\subseteq \Phi(Ш_C(A)P_A(\mathrm{Fil}^{k-1}Ш_C(A))) \\
&\subseteq \Phi(Ш_C(A))P'_A(\Phi(\mathrm{Fil}^{k-1}Ш_C(A))) \\
&\subseteq \mathfrak{A}(A)P'_A(F^{k-1}\mathfrak{A}(A)) \\
&\subseteq F^k\mathfrak{A}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 4.5 *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \in Ш_C^n(A)$, we have*

$$\Phi(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) = \lambda^{n-1}(a_n \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1)\gamma_n + F^n\mathfrak{S}(A).$$

Proof: By definition, for $a_1 \in A \subseteq Ш_C(A)$,

$$\Phi(a_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k^{(a_1)}\gamma_k = a_1\gamma_1 + (1\otimes a_1)\gamma_2 + \dots.$$

So the lemma is proved for $n = 1$. Assume that the lemma is proved for n, and consider $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n+1} \in Ш_C^n(A)$. Applying Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \Phi(a_1 P_A(a_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n+1}))\\
&= \Phi(a_1)\Phi(P_A(a_{n+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_2))\\
&= \Phi(a_1)P'_A(\Phi(a_{n+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_2))\\
&= (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k^{(a_1)}\gamma_k)\ P'_A(\lambda^{n-1}(a_{n+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_2)\gamma_n + \text{ a term in } F^n\mathfrak{S}(A))\\
&= (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k^{(a_1)}\gamma_k)(\lambda^{n}(a_{n+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_2)\gamma_{n+1} + \text{ a term in } F^{n+1}\mathfrak{S}(A))\\
&= \lambda^n(a_{n+1} \otimes a_n \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1)\gamma_{n+1} + \text{ a term in } F^{n+1}\mathfrak{S}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction. ■

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.6 *Assume that $\lambda \in C$ is not a zero divisor in $\overline{A}$. The morphism in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$*

$$\Phi : Ш_C(A) \to \mathfrak{S}(A)$$

induced by sending $a \in A$ to $t^{(a)} = (t_1^{(a)}, \ldots, t_n^{(a)}, \ldots)$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 4.7 *When λ is not a zero divisor in $\overline{A}$, $(\mathfrak{S}(A), P'_A)$ is a free Baxter algebra on A in the category $\mathbf{Bax}_C$.* ■

Corollary 4.8 *Assume that λ is not a zero divisor in C. Let X be a set. The morphism in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$*

$$\Phi : Ш_C(X) \to \mathfrak{S}(X)$$

induced by sending $x \in X$ to $t^{(x)} = (t_1^{(x)}, \ldots, t_n^{(x)}, \ldots)$ is an isomorphism. The restriction of Φ to $Ш_C(X)^0$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ from $Ш_C(X)^0$ to $\mathfrak{S}(X)^0$.

Proof: Applying Theorem 4.6 to the case when $A = C[X]$, we obtain $\Phi : Ш_C(X) \cong \mathfrak{S}(X)$. Since $Ш_C(X)^0 \subseteq Ш_C(X)$ is generated by X in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ and $\mathfrak{S}(X)^0 \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(X) = \Phi(Ш_C(X))$ is generated by $\Phi(X)$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$, the corollary follows. ■

Remarks:

1. The proof of Theorem 4.6, specialized to the setting of Corollary 4.8, also gives another proof of Theorem 4.2.
2. The above construction of $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ for $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C$ can be modified to give the construction of an internal free Baxter algebra $\mathfrak{S}(A)^0$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C^0$ on A for $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_C^0$. The situation is similar to the construction of shuffle Baxter algebras not necessarily having an identity, discussed in section 2.

Proof of Theorem 4.6: Since $(Ш_C(A), P_A)$ is a free Baxter algebra on A in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$, the assignment

$$\Phi : A \to \mathfrak{S}(A),\ a \mapsto t^{(a)} = (t_1^{(a)}, \ldots, t_n^{(a)}, \ldots)$$

induces a morphism $\Phi : Ш_C(A) \to \mathfrak{S}(A)$ in $\mathbf{Bax}_C$. Since $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ is the Baxter subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ generated by A, the morphism Φ is onto. So we only need to verify that Φ is injective.

For any $G \in Ш_C(X)$, we can uniquely write $G = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G_n$ with $G_n \in Ш_C^n(A)$. Suppose $\Phi(G) = 0$; we will show by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $G_n = 0$. For $n = 0$ we have $Ш_C^0(A) = A$. So G_0 is in A. By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\Phi(\sum_{k\geq 1} G_k) \in F^1\mathfrak{A}(A).$$

Thus the first component of $\Phi(F)$ in $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is from

$$\Phi(G_0) = G_0\gamma_1 + \text{ a term in } F^1\mathfrak{A}(A).$$

Thus $\Phi(G) = 0$ implies $G_0\gamma_1 = 0$. Therefore, $G_0 = 0$.

Now assume that $G_k = 0$ for $k \leq n$ and consider $G_{n+1} \in Ш_C^{n+1}(A) = A^{\otimes(n+2)}$. Thus G_{n+1} can be expressed as

$$G_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_1^{(i)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n+2}^{(i)}, k \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ a_j^{(i)} \in A.$$

Since $G_k = 0$ for $k \leq n$, and by Lemma 4.4, for $k \geq n+2$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi(G_k) & \in & \Phi(Ш_C^k(A)) \\ & \subseteq & \Phi(\mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A)) \\ & \subseteq & \Phi(\mathrm{Fil}^{n+2} Ш_C(A)) \\ & \subseteq & F^{n+2}\mathfrak{S}(A), \end{array}$$

the only contribution of $\Phi(G)$ to the coefficient of γ_{n+2} is from $\Phi(G_{n+1})$. By Lemma 4.5, this coefficient is

$$\lambda^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n+2}^{(i)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1^{(i)}.$$

Thus $\Phi(F) = 0$ implies that

$$\lambda^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n+2}^{(i)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1^{(i)} = 0.$$

Since λ is not a zero divisor in $\bar{A}$, we further have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n+2}^{(i)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1^{(i)} = 0$$

as an element in the tensor power algebra $A^{\otimes(n+2)}$. But this element being zero or not depends only on the C-module structure of $A^{\otimes(n+2)}$ and the C-module map

$$A^{\otimes(n+2)} \to A^{\otimes(n+2)}, a_{n+2} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1 \mapsto a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n+2}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus we also have

$$G_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_1^{(i)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n+2}^{(i)} = 0.$$

This completes the induction. Thus Φ is injective and hence an isomorphism. ■

4.3 Completions

We now give an internal construction of free complete Baxter algebras by showing that the complete free Baxter algebra $\widehat{Ш}_C(A)$ can be embedded into $\mathfrak{A}(A)$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}(A)'$ be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ consisting of sequences with finitely many nonzero entries. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{A}(A)' = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{A} \gamma_n.$$

Define a filtration on $\mathfrak{A}(A)'$ by taking

$$F^k \mathfrak{A}(A)' = \mathfrak{A}(X) \cap F^k \mathfrak{A}(A)' = \oplus_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{A}\, \gamma_n.$$

By Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\varprojlim \left(\mathfrak{A}(A)'/\mathrm{Fil}^k \mathfrak{A}(A)'\right) \cong \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+} \overline{A}$$

with the addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication defined componentwise. Therefore,

$$\varprojlim \left(\mathfrak{A}(A)'/\mathrm{Fil}^k \mathfrak{A}(A)'\right) \cong \mathfrak{A}(A).$$

From the definition of $F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)$ and $F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)'$,

$$\mathfrak{A}(A)'/\mathrm{Fil}^n\mathfrak{A}(A)' \cong \mathfrak{A}(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^n\mathfrak{A}(A)$$

So $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is the completion of itself with respect to the filtration $F^k\mathfrak{A}(X)$. Since $F^k\mathfrak{S}(A) = \mathfrak{S}(A) \cap F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)$, we have the injective map of inverse systems

$$\mathfrak{S}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{S}(A) \to \mathfrak{A}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{A}(A), k \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$

So

$$\varprojlim \left(\mathfrak{S}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{S}(A)\right) \hookrightarrow \varprojlim \left(\mathfrak{A}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)\right) \cong \mathfrak{A}(A). \tag{7}$$

We can easily describe the image of $\varprojlim \left(\mathfrak{S}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{S}(A)\right)$ in $\mathfrak{A}(A)$. It consists of sequences $(b^{(n)})_n,\ b^{(n)} \in \overline{A}$ that can be expressed as an infinite sum of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k^{(n)})_n,$$

where $(b_k^{(n)})_n \in \mathfrak{S}(A)$ for each k. This means that, for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, all but finitely many $b_k^{(n)},\ k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, are non-zero, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^{(n)} = b^{(n)}$. We denote this image by $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(A)$ with the induced Baxter algebra structure.

On the other hand, we also have the Baxter filtration Fil^k on $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ (section 3).

Theorem 4.9 *1. The Baxter algebra $\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is complete.*

2. Assume that $\lambda \in C$ is not a zero divisor in $\overline{A}$. The isomorphism $\Phi : Ш_C(A) \to \mathfrak{S}(A)$ extends to an isomorphism of complete Baxter algebras

$$\widehat{\Phi} : \widehat{Ш}_C(A) \to \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(A).$$

We first prove a lemma.

Lemma 4.10 *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have*

1. $\mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{A}(A) = \lambda^k F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)$.
2. *Assume that $\lambda \in C$ is not a zero divisor in $\overline{A}$.* $\mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{S}(A) = F^k\mathfrak{S}(A)$.

Proof: 1. We prove that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$P_A'(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) = \lambda F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A).$$

By the definition of $P'_A : \mathfrak{A}(A) \to \mathfrak{A}(A)$, we have $P'_A(F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) \subseteq \lambda F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A)$. On the other hand, any element in $\lambda F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is of the form

$$\lambda \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} a_i\gamma_i,\ a_i \in \overline{A}.$$

Then we have

$$P'_A(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty}(a_{i+1}-a_i)\gamma_i) = \lambda \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} a_i\gamma_i.$$

Here we take $a_{k+1}=0$. This proves the equation.

When $k=1$, we have $P'_A(\mathfrak{A}(A)) = \lambda F^1\mathfrak{A}(A)$. Since $F^1\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is already a Baxter ideal, we have $\mathrm{Fil}^1\mathfrak{A}(A) = \lambda F^1\mathfrak{A}(A)$. Inductively, assuming that $\mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{A}(A) = \lambda^k F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)$, then we have

$$P'_A(\mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) = P'_A(\lambda^k F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) = \lambda^{k+1}F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A).$$

Since $F^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A)$ is a Baxter ideal of $\mathfrak{A}(A)$, it is $\mathrm{Fil}^{k+1}\mathfrak{A}(A)$, the Baxter ideal generated by $P'_A(\mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{A}(A))$.

2. By Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, we have, for any $a \in Ш_C(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(a) \in \mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{S}(A) \\ \Leftrightarrow\ & a \in \mathrm{Fil}^k Ш_C(A) \\ \Leftrightarrow\ & \Phi(a) \in \mathfrak{S}(A) \cap F^k\mathfrak{A}(A) \\ \Leftrightarrow\ & \Phi(a) \in F^k\mathfrak{S}(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the second equation. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.9: From the first equation of Lemma 4.10, we have the exact sequence of inverse systems

$$0 \to F^k\mathfrak{A}(A/\lambda kA) \to \mathfrak{A}(A)/\mathrm{Fil}^k\mathfrak{A}(A) \to \mathfrak{A}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{A}(A) \to 0.$$

Clearly $\varprojlim F^k\mathfrak{A}(A/\lambda kA) = 0$. Thus we have

$$\hat{\mathfrak{A}}(A)) \hookrightarrow \varprojlim (\mathfrak{A}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{A}(A)) = \mathfrak{A}(A).$$

This proves the first statement.

Next assume that $\lambda \in C$ is not a zero divisor in $\overline{A}$. Then by the second statement of Lemma 4.10,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{S}}(A) \cong \varprojlim (\mathfrak{S}(A)/F^k\mathfrak{S}(A)).$$

Then by Theorem 4.6 and equation (7) we obtain

$$\widehat{Ш}_C(A) \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(A).$$

■

References

[1] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731-742.

[2] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **9** (1972), 253-265.

[3] P. M. Cohn, "Universal Algebra," Harper and Row, New York, 1965.

[4] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. in Math.*, to appear.

[5] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On free Baxter algebras: zero divisors and chain conditions, preprint.

[6] N. Jacobson, "Basic Algebra I," Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1980.

[7] W. Keigher, On the ring of Hurwitz series, *Comm. Algebra,* **25** (1997), 1845-1859.

[8] S. MacLane, "Categories for the Working Mathematician," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

[9] H. Matsumura, "Commutative ring theory," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge England, 1986

[10] G. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, *Bull. AMS,* **5** (1969), 325-329.

[11] G. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, In: "Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries", Joseph P.S. Kung, Editor, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.

[12] G. Rota and D. A. Smith, Fluctuation theory and Baxter algebras, Istituto Nazionale di Alta Mathematica, Symposia Mathematica, Vol IX (1972), 179-201.

[13] C. Weibel, "An Introduction to Homological Algebra," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994.